

United States Patent and Trademark Office

7

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/537,584	01/09/2006	Mara Destro	PP/1-22811/CHM 4896 124/PCT 4896		
324 CIBA SPECIA	7590 11/19/2007 BA SPECIALTY CHEMICALS CORPORATION			EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT			EOFF, ANCA		
540 WHITE PLAINS RD P O BOX 2005		ART UNIT	- PAPER NUMBER		
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591-9005			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/537,584	DESTRO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Anca Eoff	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06/06/2005, 09/06/2005 and 01/09/2006. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-14,16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-14, 16-18 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I: Compound (a) which comprises one or more mono-hydroxyphenyl (phenol) moieties, each carrying one or two bonds to a divalent linking group (specification, pages 5-6);

Species II: Compound (a) which comprises one or more mono-hydroxyphenyl (phenol) moieties, each carrying one or two bonds to a trivalent linking group (specification, pages 5-7);

Species III: Compound (a) which comprises one or more mono-hydroxyphenyl (phenol) moieties, each carrying one or two bonds to a tetravalent linking group (specification, pages 5 and 7);

Species IV: Compound (a) which comprises one or more mono-hydroxyphenyl (phenol) moieties, each carrying one or two bonds to an anchor group (specification, pages 8-9);

Species V: Compound (a) which is represented by the formula II (a):

10/537,584 Art Unit: 1795

$$T_3 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow T_1$$
 (IIa),

(specification, pages 9-10)

Species VI: Compound (a) which is represented by the formula II (b):

(specification, page 10)

Species VII: Compound (a) which is represented by the formula II (c):

$$G_{g}O$$

(specification, page 11).

Applicant's attention is drawn to the situation involving the so-called Markush practice wherein a single claim defines alternatives (chemical or non-chemical) is also governed by PCT Rule 13.2.In this special situation, the requirement of a technical interrelationship and the same or corresponding special technical features as defined in

10/537,584

Art Unit: 1795

PCT Rule 13.2, shall be considered to be met when the alternatives are of similar nature.

In particular, when the Markush grouping is for alternatives of chemical compounds, they shall be regarded as being of a similar nature when the following criteria are fulfilled:

- (A) All alternatives have a common property or activity; and
- (B)(1) A common structure is present, i.e., a significant structural element is shared by all of the alternatives; or
- (B)(2) In cases where the common structure cannot be the unifying criteria, all alternatives belong to a recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains. (MPEP 1850-III)

In the present instance, the species listed above to do relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack a common structure and the alternatives do not belong in the same class of chemical compounds, due to the large variety of linking groups and anchor groups disclosed by the applicant. Also, the compounds of formulas (IIa), (IIb) and (IIc) lack a common structure and belong to different class of compounds (the compound of (IIa) is a triazole derivative, the compound of formula (IIb) is a benzophenone derivative and the compound of formula (IIc) is a triazine derivative).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

10/537,584 Art Unit: 1795

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species I: Claims 3, 5, 6

Species II: Claims 3, 5, 6

Species III: Claims 3, 5, 6

Species IV: Claims 3,4, 5, 6

Species V: Claim 3

Species VI: Claim 3

Species VII: Claim 3.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1, 2, 7-14 and 16-18.

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

10/537,584

Art Unit: 1795

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anca Eoff whose telephone number is 571-272-9810. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30 AM-4:00 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

10/537,584 Art Unit: 1795 Page 7

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AE JE

Cystal Keep